

HOMERUN Hospital Medicine ReEngineering Network



Learning Objective

Identify factors likely contributing to a *diagnostic error* in a hospitalized patient with life-threatening gastrointestinal bleeding from the Utility of Predictive Systems in Diagnostic Errors (UPSIDE) Study

Case Presentation

History of Present Illness

- 76 year-old woman with coronary artery disease, hypertension, and chronic kidney disease presented to the ER with one-day of profound generalized weakness and dyspnea on exertion
- PMH: Diverticulosis on two prior colonoscopies
- Medications: Aspirin 81 mg BID status-post left total hip arthroplasty performed three weeks prior to presentation; no other NSAID, antiplatelet or anticoagulant use
- ROS: No fever, cough, chest or abdominal pain, hematemesis, melena, hematochezia, or hematuria

ER Course

- Vitals: Afebrile, BP 81/49, HR 60, RR 18, SPO2 100% RA
- Exam: Significant for left thigh edema
- Labs on admission (baseline values)



- MCV **100.0** (89.8)
- PT INR **14.7; 1.2**

• Lactate 0.8 • Troponin HS 62, 56

- Iron panel, B12, folate, LDH, and haptoglobin were normal
- Studies: Fecal occult blood test was **positive**; CT head was negative; CT Abdomen/Pelvis revealed colonic diverticulosis* (as shown)



*ER Working Diagnosis: Diverticular Bleeding

Hemoglobin Trend	[T



Timeline

0.2



Diagnostic Error in a Patient with Life-Threatening Anemia & Severe Gastrointestinal Hemorrhage

Sharran N. Burney, MSN, NP, Danielle A. Moore, MPAS, PA-C, Jeffrey L. Schnipper, MD, MPH, and Anuj K. Dalal, MD Brigham and Women's Hospital, Brigham and Women's Faulkner Hospital, Mass General Brigham, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Labs and Imaging

HD 5 HD 3 HD 4 HD 2 **HD 1** 2 units PRBCs 2 units PRBCs 2 units 2 units PRBCs PRBCs 8.7 8.5 8.7 8.2 7.9 7.8 *Lab value treated with a transfusion of packed red blood cells (PRBC) — Hemoglobin Level (gm/dL) Saturday Thursday Friday Sunday Monday

Total Blood Administration: 8 units (2.58L)]

Hospital Course

• Hospital Day 0: Admitting Medicine team suspected left thigh hematoma as the primary bleeding source and consulted Orthopedic Surgery. Hip imaging showed a postoperative seroma and incidental fracture. Gastroenterology was consulted and discovered the patient was passing "darker" stools post-surgery.

Hospital Day 1: Urgent EGD was pursued given suspected upper GI bleed (UGIB) and showed a single oozing superficial duodenal ulcer and diminutive nonbleeding gastric erosions

• Hospital Day 2: After passing several black and then bright red stools overnight, the patient became hemodynamically unstable (post-transfusion hemoglobin 8.2 gm/dL \rightarrow 6.0 gm/dL). General Surgery was consulted in the ICU for possible massive UGIB; repeat EGD was *negative*.

• Hospital Days 3-5: Colonoscopy, advised HD3 and performed HD5, revealed diffuse moderate diverticulosis with stigmata of recent bleeding • Final Diagnosis: Severe gastrointestinal hemorrhage likely secondary to diverticular source, preceded by a subacute duodenal bleed

ER Course/HD 0	HD 1	HD 2	HD 3	HD 4	HD 5	HD 6
Admitted to Left Hip & Floor Medicine Pelvic X-rays nen Service (17:16) s CT (5:45) Left Hip CT (14:31)	Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) Performed (12:20)	Transferred to Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Repeat EGD (12:12) Performed (14:07)	Colonoscopy (CLS) Advised by Consulting & Primary Teams	Transferred Back to Floor Service (13:33)	CLS Performed (11:22)	Discharged Home with Services (15:09)
Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday





Discussion

- The *missed opportunity to make a timely diagnosis* of concurrent diverticular bleeding constitutes a diagnostic error
- Interval ICU course and protracted length of stay suggest **temporary** patient harm

Factors Likely Contributing to a *Delayed Diagnosis* in This Encounter Initial physical exam findings (thigh edema) were likely overvalued • ER providers' concerns for lower GI bleed (LGIB) were dismissed in favor of a low-yield evaluation to exclude left thigh hematoma • "Anchoring" on an upper GI bleeding source (UGIB)

- Confirmation of an UGIB (Occam's Razor) may have biased clinicians against pursuing a second diagnosis (Hickam's Dictum)
- Suboptimal weighing of data as evidenced by • Failure to pursue early colonoscopy despite severe anemia and
- known chronic diverticulosis
- Life-threatening anemia attributed to subacute EGD findings
- Second EGD prioritized over colonoscopy despite interval hematochezia being potentially pathognomonic for LGIB
- Suboptimal collaboration & shared decision-making among teams
- Differential diagnosis not expanded to diverticular bleeding by members of the primary or consulting teams until HD3
- Possible over-reliance on consultants to drive diagnostic evaluation by primary Medicine team
- Barriers to non-urgent weekend procedures
- Once advised, colonoscopy was delayed by another 2 days
- Possibly diminished the overall diagnostic yield of the procedure

Conclusions

- Final diagnosis of concurrent LGIB inappropriately delayed • Historical and initial diagnostic data suggested >1 distinct bleeding source
- Diagnostic process likely hindered by flawed clinical reasoning during the early hospital course and suboptimal collaboration among teams
- Same-day EGD and colonoscopy may have expedited care ○ Cost effective; well tolerated in older adults (age \geq 65)

Sharran (Nickie) Burney: sburney@bwh.harvard.edu Funding: ARHQ R01HS027369; Moore Foundation #8856 Learn more about HOMERuN at hospitalinnovate.org Follow HOMERuN @HOMERUN_Network



